Brahman is the Two-Fold Cause for Creation Swami Dayananda Saraswati¹

Bhagavadgītā Home Study: Chapter 7, Verses 4-5

Lord Kṛṣṇa Reveals Himself As The Two-Fold Cause Of Creation

There are two *prakṛti*s. The word *prakṛti* means that which has the essential capacity to create. *Prakṛti* is also called *kāraṇa*, the cause. Kṛṣṇa says, "I have two *prakṛti*s, one is *svarūpa-prakṛti*, and the other is *svabhāva-prakṛti*.

Svarūpa-Prakṛti

One *prakṛti* is the cause for everything; the truth of everything, without which nothing is possible. This is called *svarūpa* or *para-prakṛti*. *Svarūpa*, as I have told you, is that which makes something what it is. For example, ice is cold and that coldness is its *svarūpa*. You cannot remove it and still have ice. And here similarly, *ātmā* cannot give up its nature, consciousness. Consciousness is the *svarūpa* of *ātmā*; it is not a quality, an attribute of *ātmā*. There is no other person there for whom consciousness is an attribute. In fact that 'I' itself is in the form of a conscious being alone. Therefore, consciousness is the *svarūpa* of the *ātmā*. It is not an attribute of *ātmā*.

And if consciousness is the *svarūpa* of *ātmā*, there are a few other facts we recognise about consciousness. It is *satya*; it is *ananta*, etc. From the various standpoints of our knowledge about the world, we say this consciousness is *satya*. That means everything else is not *satya*; this consciousness alone is *satya*. It is not that we are refusing to accept another *satya*. There is only one *satya*; this is *ātmā*. Generally, we think that what exists is *satya*. Here, we take that existence itself to consciousness. And because it is *satya* it is *ananta*, without limit. *Satyaṃ jñānam anantaṃ brahma* is *ātmā*. This is the *prakṛti* of everything. Here you must understand *prakṛti* as the cause of everything, *sarvasya kāraṇam*. Therefore, it is called the *svarūpa-prakṛti*.

Svabhāva-Prakṛti

Then there is another *prakṛti* which we call *svabhāva-prakṛti* or *apara-prakṛti* consisting of the five elements, both subtle and gross. It is

-

¹ Published in the 14th Anniversary Souvenir of the Arsha Vidya Gurukulam, 2000.

divided into cause, $k\bar{a}rana$, and effect, $k\bar{a}rya$. Because the effect, $k\bar{a}rya$ is not separate from the cause, the $k\bar{a}rya$ is also called prakrii. Therefore we have the expression $k\bar{a}rya$ -prakrii. A physical body consisting of the five elements is also $k\bar{a}rya$ -prakrii as are the sense organs, the mind, and pranas. In other words, anything created, anything put together is a $k\bar{a}rya$ -prakrii. $K\bar{a}rya$ here is anything that is produced, anything put together. If we look at this prakrii, this is also called $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, avyakta, or $m\bar{u}la$ -prakrii. This prakrii is the $up\bar{a}dhi$ from which the whole creation has come. And the $up\bar{a}dhi$ is for paramatma. Therefore, sat-cit-ananda-atma becomes the cause for this entire world and the $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ is the svabhava, cause.

Now where does this $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ have its being? Is it in the product or in Brahman? It is in Brahman. It cannot be elsewhere because the product itself is $mithy\bar{a}$. So this $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ has its being in Brahman — $brahma-\bar{a}\dot{s}ray\bar{a}$ hi $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. Brahman is $satyam\ j\bar{n}\bar{a}nam\ anantam$ which is $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. That Brahman is the $\bar{a}\dot{s}ray\bar{a}$ for $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ and its products.

In this chapter, Lord Kṛṣṇa first talks about *kārya* or *apara-prakṛti*. Then he says there is another *prakṛti*, *para-prakṛti* that is the real cause, without which there cannot be any creation. The real cause means that which supplies the existence, and without which there is no creation possible. He says, 'The truth of the whole creation, the real cause, is my *svarūpa*. And you are that *svarūpa*. That is the real *prakṛti* and therefore what you have to know is that real *prakṛti* which is *Īśvara* in reality. You have to know these two types of *prakṛtis*, and know that the *svarūpa* or *para-prakṛti* without which there is no creation at all, is yourself. I am you. In fact, I am the cause of everything and I am you.' This means you are the cause of everything as *satyaṃ jñānam anantam brahma*.

Then next question you'll ask, will be, 'How can I be the cause? How can I be *Īśvara*?' And I will say that if you say you are not, you are not. If you say you are a *jīva*, you'll continue to be *jīva*. You'll never become *Īśvara*. An individual is an individual; he is not going to become *Īśvara*.

And if you say, 'I am *Īśvara*,' then the problem is, where is this 'I' placed? That has to be understood. Therefore, in the verses that follow, Kṛṣṇa unfolds the two types of *prakṛti* to prove that *Īśvara* is everything and his *svarūpa* is you.

भूमिरापो ऽनलो वायुः खं मनो बुद्धिरेव च । अहङ्कार इतीयं मे भिन्ना प्रकृतिरष्टधा ॥ ४॥

bhūmirāpo'nalo vāyuḥ khaṃ mano buddhireva ca ahaṅkāra itīyaṃ me bhinnā prakṛtiraṣṭadhā Verse 4

भूमि: $bh\bar{u}mi\hbar$ — earth; अप: $\bar{a}pa\hbar$ — water; अनल: $anala\hbar$ — fire; वायु: $v\bar{a}yu\hbar$ — air, खम् kham — space; मन: $mana\hbar$ — mind; बुद्धि: $buddhi\hbar$ — intellect; अहङ्कार: एव च $ahank\bar{a}ra\hbar$ eva ca — and indeed the doership, the 'I'-sense; इति iti — thus; इयं मे प्रकृति: iyam me $prakṛti\hbar$ — this my prakṛti (the entire world); अष्टधा $aṣṭadh\bar{a}$ — in an eight fold way; भिन्ना $bhinn\bar{a}$ — is divided

Earth, water, fire, air, space, mind, intellect and indeed the sense of doership — thus this *prakṛti* of mine is divided in an eight-fold way.

The two-fold prakrti, mentioned in the introduction to this chapter is the cause of this entire world. In this chapter they are called $par\bar{a}$ and $apar\bar{a}$ prakrtis. Para-prakrti is the ultimate cause without which there is no effect possible. Then the immediate cause is called apara-prakrti, in other words, $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ and all that is immediately born of $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. Because subtle elements are the causes for the gross elements which come later, they are mentioned first here as apara-prakrti.

Iyam, this entire world, is my prakṛti, me prakṛti, divided in an eight-fold way, aṣṭadhā bhinnā. Aṣṭa is eight; aṣṭadhā is eight-fold. Here he tells us what are the eight-fold subtle constituents beginning with the earth, bhūmi, as a tanmātra, a subtle element. Tanmātra means tat mātra, that alone is there. In grossification, each element combines with the other four elements. But in the subtle form, such a combination has not taken place so they are called tanmātras. Each element has its own guṇa which we experience sensorily. For instance the earth has its own guṇa, smell, and so the smell tanmātra is what is referred to here as bhūmi. Similarly, the taste, rasa-tanmātra is water, āpaḥ; form tanmātra is fire, agni; touch tanmātra is air, vāyu, sound tanmātra is space, kham.

Prakriyā— A Teaching Model

Using a particular model like this to teach the nature of the creation is using a *sṛṣṭi-prakriyā*. A *prakriyā* is a particular discussion which is useful for understanding the vision. The intention of using any *prakriyā* is only to point out that there is nothing other than *paraṃ brahma*. So the intention of a *prakriyā* dealing with creation, *sṛṣṭi*, is not to reveal the creation but to establish that there is nothing other than Brahman.

Like the *sṛṣṭi-prakriyā*, there are other *prakriyā*s such as *avasthā-traya-prakriyā*, an analysis of the three states of experience; *pañca-koṣa-prakriyā*, an analysis of the five levels of one's experience of oneself; and *dṛk-dṛṣya-prakriyā*, subject-object analysis to distinguish *ātmā* from *anātmā* and later prove that *anātmā* is not separate from *ātmā* because it is *mithyā*. The five elemental model of this universe is a part of the creation or cause-effect *prakriyā sṛṣṭi-prakriyā* or *kāraṇa-kārya-prakriyā*. We find this *sṛṣṭi-prakriyā* in many Upaniṣads.

Sṛṣṭi-Prakriyā — Analysis Of Creation In Chāndogyopanişad

In the sixth chapter of Chāndogyopaniṣad, the sage Uddālaka tells his son, Śvetaketu, that before the creation of this world there was only one thing. It was sat, existence, advitīya, non-dual, and there was nothing except that. Advitīya because there was no other sat-vastu like itself nor was there any vastu unlike itself and in itself there were no parts. It was one non-dual. Since there was no difference within itself nor was there any differentiating factor, it is a part-less whole.

Mentioning this sat-vastu in his opening statement, Uddālaka talks about the creation of the elemental world from this sat-vastu. He mentions only three elements, the elements which have form, $pa\tilde{n}ca$ - $bh\bar{u}tas$ — agni — fire, $\bar{a}pa\dot{n}$ — water, and $prthiv\bar{i}$ — earth. The two form-free elements, $\bar{a}k\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ and $v\bar{a}yu$, are not mentioned. The purpose was only to show that having come from sat-vastu, they don't have a being of their own apart from the sat-vastu. In fact, the creation is non-separate from its cause like the pot is non-separate from the clay.

Finally he says, 'Oh! Śvetaketu, that sat-vastu is ātmā.' Everything else is created. The body is created; the mind is created; the senses are created. But what is not created is ātmā. And that is sat-vastu which was even before creation. Even now it is sat-vastu, uncreated ātmā. And therefore, tat tvam

asi — 'you are that.' From nine standpoints he points out that the *vastu* is always the same. Before and after the creation it is the same; that $\bar{a}tma-vastu$ did not undergo any change. Now, even though it is $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$, material cause, it is $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$ in terms of $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. Without undergoing any change itself, the sat-vastu manifests in the form of this world with the sat of $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. And the creation, being purely $n\bar{a}ma-r\bar{u}pa$, is $mithy\bar{a}$. The truth of the creation, the sat-vastu is you, $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. So to create this vision that you are the sat-vastu and the world is non-separate from the sat-vastu, we have a $srsti-prakriy\bar{a}$.

Avasthātraya-Prakriyā— Analysis Of The Three States Of Experience In Māṇḍūkyopanişad

Similarly, we find the avasthā-traya-prakriyā in Māndūkyopanisad. The first verse says all that is, all that was, and all that will be is but om-kāra. Each individual letter of om was made to stand for something. O-kāra represents waking, the waker and the waking world, u-kāra, the dreamer and the dream world, ma-kāra the sleeper and the sleep experience. All three of them are shown to be non-separate from the same $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. which itself is neither the waker consciousness, nor is it dreamer consciousness, or sleeper consciousness. And it is not the consciousness in between waking and dream consciousness nor is it all consciousness, or unconsciousness. Naturally what remains after negating all this is consciousness as such. All other things qualify that consciousness. And this is the nature of yourself; that is called *caturtha*. It is neither waker, dreamer, nor sleeper. Therefore, caturtham manyante sa $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ sa $vij\tilde{n}eyah^2$ — what is looked upon as caturtha, the fourth, that is the real ātmā. It is all three and is itself independent of all three. It doesn't undergo any change and is the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ in all three states. That has got to be known. This is the avasthā-traya-prakriyā which is discussed in the Māndūkyopanisad, and in other Upanisads as well.

Pañcakoșa-Prakriyā- Analysis Of The Five Levels Of Experience Of Oneself In Taittirīyopanişad

In the *Taittirīyopaniṣad* there is a *pañacakoṣa-prakriyā*. It begins with the physical body, *anna-rasa-maya*, which is like a cover, *koṣa*, because everyone mistakes it for *ātmā*. It is born out of the essence of the food that is

_

² Māṇḍūkyopaniṣad, 7

eaten, anna-rasa. The assimilated form of food is anna-rasa-maya. The affix mayat means modification, vikāra, so anna-rasa-maya is a modification of the essence of food. We generally conclude that the body is ātmā. Therefore, śruti points out that there is another ātmā which is more interior, subtler. This is prāṇa. The śruti then describes the physiological function, prāṇa-maya. If you think this is ātmā, śruti leads you further to another ātmā, mano-maya and from mano-maya to vijñāna-maya, the doer, then from vijñāna-maya to ānandamaya.

Sukha, happiness is also experienced in different degrees because of shades of difference in vṛttis. So within that ānandamaya, priya is the first stage of happiness. Something that is pleasing or desirable to you is sighted, that is priya. Then what is desired is possessed by you; this is moda. The third stage in which it is experienced by you is called pramoda. These are degrees of ānanda, all of which are particular modes of thought, vṛtti-viśeṣas. But in all three, priya, moda, and pramoda, what is present is ānanda. And that ānanda is myself. That is Brahman.

Tanmātra Prakriyā— A Type Of Sṛṣṭi-Prakriyā

Here, Kṛṣṇa uses the tanmātra-prakriyā. Tanmātra, as we have seen, means the five subtle elements. These five subtle elements undergo a process of grossification whereby each element shares half of itself with the other four. Therefore, each gross element is five-fold and has one eighth of each of the other elements. For example, sthūla-ākāśa is one half sūkṣmaākāśa, one eighth sūkṣma-vāyu, one eighth sūkṣma-agni, one eighth sūkṣma-āpaḥ and one eighth sūkṣma-pṛthivī. Thus every gross element is five-fold and because it is formed of these fivefold elements; the world itself is called prapañca, five-fold, in Sanskrit. This prapañca was originally tanmātra. Only that alone, tat-mātra, was there. In other words, in ākāśa, ākāśa alone was there; in vāyu, vāyu alone; in agni, agni alone; in āpaḥ, āpaḥ alone; in pṛthivī, pṛthivī alone. In the sūkṣma form they don't have these five fold combinations so they are called tanmātras.

These tanmātras, ākāśa, vāyu, agni, āpa, pṛthivī, have been listed in the reverse order in this verse. If they are listed as space, air, fire, water, earth, it is the order of creation, sṛṣṭi-krama. But because Arjuna is now looking at the already created, the sṛṣṭi that is there, the elements are listed beginning with bhūmi. These five elements have many synonyms. Here

 $prthiv\bar{i}$, the earth, is called $bh\bar{u}mi$, agni, the fire, is called $anala^3$ and $\bar{a}k\bar{a}sa$ is called kham. All five of these elements are to be understood here as subtle, i.e., $s\bar{u}ksma$ because they are mentioned as the cause here.

Then *manas*, *buddhi*, *ahaṅkāra* are also added to these elements. Since they are all products, they have to be looked at from the causal level. The five subtle elements with these three are the eight-fold cause for this entire *jagat*.

The cause of the mind is *ahaṅkāra*. So *manas* stands for *ahaṅkāra*; *buddhi* is *mahat-tattva* and *ahaṅkāra* in the verse stands for the unmanifest, *avyakta*. Kṛṣṇa wants to point out all the causes and he arranges them in the order that is generally discussed elsewhere. *Ahaṅkāra* is mentioned last because *avyakta*, the unmanifest is the primary cause with reference to the creation.

It is *upādāna-kāraṇa*, the material cause for the creation. While Brahman does not undergo any change, the *upādāna-kāraṇa* undergoes all the change and is therefore looked at as *pariṇāmī*, that which undergoes modification.

Then there is a new problem. If you say Brahman is the cause, then Brahman must undergo some change in order to become the creation. Yes. As *pariṇāmī-kāraṇa* it does and that change takes place only in the *māyā-upādhi*. Only from the standpoint of *māyā* is it *pariṇāmī-kāraṇa*; from the standpoint of itself it is *vivarta-upādāna-kāraṇa*. It doesn't undergo any change. The material cause itself is analysed as a cause that undergoes change and as that which doesn't undergo any change. *Satyaṃ jñānam anantaṃ brahma* cannot undergo change. The *avyakta*, the *māyā* alone undergoes changes.

Lord Kṛṣṇa says that this $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ -śakti itself has become this eight-fold cause for the entire creation. So in an eightfold way this $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ -śakti, which is non-separate from me, is the cause for everything. $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ is not a parallel reality; it is the Lord's own śakti. And in an eight fold way, it becomes the prakṛti for the creation. This is called apara-prakṛti. The other prakṛti, para-prakṛti, is the svarūpa, the svarūpa of ātmā.

अपरेयमितस्त्वन्यां प्रकृतिं विद्धि मे पराम् ।

www.AVGsatsang.org

7

 $^{^3}$ alam na vidyate yasya — the one for whom there is not enough (in terms of fuel)

जीवभूतां महाबाहो ययेदं धार्यते जगत् ॥ ५॥

apareyamitastvanyām prakṛtiḥ viddhi me parām jīvabhūtām mahābāho yayedam dhāryate jagat Verse 5

महाबाहों mahābāho — Oh! Mighty armed (Arjuna); इयम् अपया iyam aparā — this (prakṛti) (is) lower; तु tu — whereas; इत: अन्याम् itaḥ anyām — the one that is other than this; में परां प्रकृतिम् me parām prakṛtim— my higher prakṛti (my very nature); जीवभूताम् jīva-bhūtām — that which is the essential nature of the individual; विद्धि viddhi — please understand; यया yayā — by which; इदं जगत् idam jagat — this world; धार्यते dhāryate — is sustained

Oh! Mighty armed, (Arjuna), this is (my) lower (*prakṛti*). Whereas, please understand the one other than this, my higher *prakṛti* (my very nature), which is the essential nature of the individual, by which this world is sustained.

What Has Been Described So Far Is Aparā (Svabhāva)-Prakṛti

lyam, this apara-prakṛti is the prakṛti which is the cause for everything created. This should not be taken as myself. It is apara-prakṛti, a lower prakṛti. Therefore, Śaṅkara says, it is indeed, anarthaka, something that brings about the undesirable. This is the prakṛti that creates all the problems. It is the one that causes you duḥkha by giving you a jīva-śarīra, etc. Out of this prakṛti, your body, mind, and senses are produced. And because of this alone, you have all the duḥkha associated with them in the form of all their limitations. All these are caused by this apara-prakṛti. Its very form is the bondage of samsāra. For this reason it is the lower prakṛti.

My Real Nature — Para-Prakṛti

Then what is the higher *prakṛti*? *Tu*, whereas, *itaḥ anyām* – other than this, *me parāṃ prakṛtiṃ viddhi* – please understand my higher *prakṛti*. Other than this, please understand the *svarūpa* of myself, my very nature as the *parā prakṛti*. This *parā-prakṛti*, Śaṅkara says, is *viśuddha*, pure, not touched by anything. *Parā* means *utkṛṣṭā* the most exalted *prakṛti*. With reference to the other one, it is *utkṛṣṭā*, because if you know this *prakṛti*, you are liberated. The other *prakṛti* will bind you.

My Real Nature Is You

Here, Kṛṣṇa, speaking as Īśvara says please understand my real nature and that is $j\bar{\imath}vabh\bar{\imath}ta$ — in the form of the $j\bar{\imath}va$. And that is you. In this prakṛti, $\bar{\imath}tm\bar{\imath}a$ always remains the same. That $\bar{\imath}tm\bar{\imath}a$, $sat\text{-}cit\text{-}\bar{\imath}tm\bar{\imath}a$ is the $kṣetraj\~na$, the one who knows the entire kṣetra. The kṣetra is the mind, intellect, doership, memory, body, senses, sensory world, etc. The $\bar{\imath}tm\bar{\imath}a$ that illumines all of them is called $kṣetraj\~na$. That is the real meaning of the word $j\bar{\imath}va$, the one who is in every kṣetra. Later Kṛṣṇa is going to say that in every body, mind, sense complex, sarva-kṣetreṣu, the one who remains there is $\bar{\imath}atm\bar{\imath}a$, $kṣetraj\~na$.

⁴ Bhagavad Gītā, 13.2

Bhagavadgītā Home Study: Chapter 7, Verse 65

In the previous verses, Lord Krsna unfolded both his apara-prakrti, consisting of the five elements, etc, and para-prakrti, which is other than this—itah anyām parām prakrtim viddhi. Although both must be understood, Kṛṣṇa is going to say that this [para-prakṛti] is the real prakṛti. This is my real nature, the cause for everything, and therefore it is called prakṛti. It is this prakṛti from which everything has come, which remains always the same, which is indeed the jīva, the ātmā. Therefore, understand that prakrti to be parā, utkrstā. The other one is apara-prakrti, the five elements, etc. Having set this up, he's now going to reveal that there is nothing other than this para- prakrti.

एतद्योनीनि भूतानि सर्वानीत्युपधारय अहं कृत्स्नस्य जगतः प्रभवः प्रलयस्तथा

etadyonini bhūtāni sarvānītyupadhāraya aham kṛtsnasya jagatah prabhavah pralayastathā Verse 6

सर्वानि भूतानि sarvāṇi bhūtāni — all beings and elements; एतद्योनीनि etad yonīni— are those that have these (the two prakrtis) as their causes; इति उपधारय iti upadhāraya — thus please understand; अहं aham — I; कृत्स्नस्य जगत: krtsnasya jagatah — of this entire world; प्रभव: prabhavah — am the cause; तथा tathā — so too; प्रलय: pralayah — (I am) the source into which everything resolves

Please understand that all beings and elements have their cause in this two-fold *prakrti* (Therefore,) I am the one from whom this entire world comes; so too, I am the one into whom everything resolves.

EVERYTHING HAS ITS BEING IN THIS TWO-FOLD PRAKETI

Etad means this two-fold prakrti. It includes all beings beginning from Brahmāji right down to a worm. In other words, all living beings, from A to Z, and all non-living things also, from the space to the earth. All of them are included. Nothing is left out. Everything known and unknown, everything that may be there in the cosmos, and whatever is there sustaining it, all the forces, all the laws, all the phenomena, then varieties of lower *lokas* like atala, etc., and all the beings therein, and all the higher lokas with their celestials — yakṣas, gandharvas, etc., devas — Indra, right up to Brahmāji. With that everything is covered — all fourteen *lokas*, seven up and seven below. All this together is called one brahmānda. That brahmānda and everything that is there in it is sarvāni bhūtāni. Etad, this is the two-fold

⁵ Published in the 13th Anniversary Souvenir of the Arsha Vidya Gurukulam, 1999.

prakṛti. One is ātmā, sat-cit-ānanda-ātmā, kṣetrajña-svarūpa-ātmā, the para-prakṛti of. The other is the māyā-upādhi and because of that all the elements, etc., is the apara- prakṛti. Kṛṣṇa says, please understand this—upadhāraya.

So, etad yonīni means those that have these (the two prakṛtis) as their causes. Yoni means cause. These two prakṛtis are the cause for everything in this jagat. Therefore, all the things in this jagat are called etad yonīni. The apara-prakṛti is everything that there is and para-prakṛti is the real cause, satyaṃ jñānam anantaṃ brahma. That alone is the cause for everything. Please understand that prakṛti. It is jīvabhūta, in the form of jīva, ātmā, pratyagātmā. That is the real svarūpa, the real cause for everything. All the bhūtāni, space, air, mind and so on, have their being only in this, in paramātmā. All beings have their basis only in the sat-cit-ātmā. Therefore, etad yonīni sarvāṇi bhūtāni iti upadhāraya — please ascertain, come to understand that all the things in this jagat are those that have these two prakṛtis as their cause.

I AM THE CAUSE FOR THE PROJECTION AND RESOLUTION OF CREATION

The Lord says, 'ahaṃ kṛtsnasya jagataḥ prabhavaḥ — I am the cause for the projection of this entire world.' Which 'I'? This 'I' — the one who has the two-fold prakṛti. The one that is in the form of this entire jagat – apara-prakṛti and the other one which is the real 'I' — satyaṃ jñānam anantaṃ brahma, the para-prakṛti And therefore, I am indeed the cause for the entire creation. Not only that. I am also the one into which this entire creation resolves — pralayastathā. Tathā — so too, kṛtsnasya jagataḥ pralayaḥ — I am the source into which everything resolves. I am the one from whom everything comes. I am the one into whom everything goes back. Therefore, there is nothing other than myself. When the creation is there, it is me because from me it has come. As I told you, this apara-prakṛti which is the pariṇāmi-upādāna-kāraṇa is also nothing but me alone.

Bhagavān has already said that all the five elements, etc., are not other than Myself; but as *sat-cit-ātmā*, as the *kśetrajña*, I have undergone no change whatsoever to become all this. So now, when he says here, 'I am the one who is the *jīva- kśetrajña*, and I am the one from whom the entire world has come, and unto whom it returns,' in effect he is saying, 'like me, you are also the cause of this entire world.' From the standpoint of *paramātmā*, you are the one who is *para- prakrti*, the cause for everything.

Then what is Īśvara? If you look at the *jagat* as an individual, then naturally you have a physical body, mind, and senses. The world is there. For all this you require a cause which is what we call *māyā-upādhi*. *Satyaṃ*

⁶ ete yonī yeṣām te - etadyonīni.

 $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}nam$ anantam brahma with $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ in the form of this entire world is Parameśvara.

From the standpoint of para-prakrti, all that is there is one, without which there is no jagat at all. It alone gives $satt\bar{a}$. It gives existence to every aspect of the creation and $sph\bar{u}rti$, that by which you come to know each and every thing. $Satt\bar{a} - sat$ and $sph\bar{u}rti - cit$ by which this entire jagat is sustained is $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. That is para-prakrti.

DEFINITION OF THE CAUSE - THE MAKER AND THE MATERIAL

In the previous verse, *Kṛṣṇa* said, "I am the cause of the entire creation and also its point of dissolution." When the Lord says he is the cause, how does he mean this? As a conscious being, the *nimitta-kāraṇa*, he is the cause in a three-fold way — as the creator, the one into whom everything dissolves, and the one who sustains everything.

Taittirīyopaniṣad also says the cause is the one from whom, yataḥ all these beings come, by whom, yena, they are sustained and into whom, yat – yasmin, they resolve. This is the definition of the cause. The word yataḥ represents the fifth case used in the sense of that from which something is born. This indicates the upādāna-kāraṇa, the material cause. Then he says yena, by whom they are sustained, then yasmin, unto whom they go back — yatprayantyabhisaṃviśanti. Apart from this pronoun, yat, there is no mention of any other cause. From this we understand that this kāraṇa, the cause is both nimitta-kāraṇa and upādāna-kāraṇa.

Earlier in the *Taittirīyopaniṣad*, it is said, 'so'kāmayata—He desired.' This clearly indicates the *nimitta-kāraṇa*. The one referred to later by the pronoun, yat, from which everything has come, upādāna-kāraṇa, is the same one who desired to become many and then created everything, nimitta-kāraṇa. From this it is clear that according to the śruti, the cause for this world is Parameśvara — both in the sense of the maker and the material.

NATURE OF THE CAUSE DEFINED

When the śāstra analyses the cause, it unfolds what we call the svarūpa, the nature of that very cause. For that it has a different definition altogether--satyam jñānam anantam brahma. You'll find that none of these words, even though they are defining words, has a particular quality. In fact, these words negate all qualities. Therefore Brahman, the cause, is revealed as nirviśeṣa, free from attributes, by words which negate all the attributes we

www.AVGsatsang.org

yato vā imāni bhūtāni jāyante, yena jātāni jīvanti, yatprayantyabhisamviśanti. (*Taittirīyopaniṣad* 3-1)

⁸ In this the word *yat* is equal to *yasmin* when the compound is resolved.

know. Thus, the cause is presented as *nirvikalpa*, free from any form of duality.

The definition is that it is satya. And it is anantam satyam. Therefore, it is not existent, as we usually understand — that is, its existence is not in terms of time. Similarly $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ is not the knowledge of any given thing. It is anantam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}nam$, unlimited $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$, that is limitless consciousness. This definition satyam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}nam$ anantam brahma is $svar\bar{u}pa-lakṣaṇa$.

THE TWO TYPES OF LAKŞANAS

SVARŪPA-LAKŞAŅA

There are two types of *lakṣaṇa*, *svarūpa-lakṣaṇa* and *taṭastha-lakṣaṇa*. *Svarūpa-lakṣaṇa* reveals the essential nature of something. For example, if you describe water as H₂O, this is *svarūpa-lakṣaṇa*. Water is nothing but these atoms so if you describe water as H₂O, you are explaining the *svarūpa* of water. Here, the definition of Brahman *satyaṃ jñānam anantaṃ brahma*, reveals the nature of Brahman, the *vastu*. It negates all attributes and then points out by implication that the *vastu* is the existence of anything that is existent and is the content of any form of knowledge.

TAŢASTHA-LAKŞAŅA

Then if, for example, you want to indicate a certain house and do so by saying it is the house on which the crow is sitting, that is *taṭastha-lakṣaṇa*. The crow is not a part of the house even though it helps you recognise the house. The next time you have to identify that house you need not wait for the crow to come and sit on it. Once you recognise the house, the crow is not a part of the understanding of the house. That is called *taṭastha-lakṣaṇa*.

Whenever creation is discussed in the śāstra, Brahman is presented as the cause from which everything has come, by which everything is sustained and into which it resolves. Therefore, everything is Brahman. This is taṭastha-lakṣaṇa.

It is important to understand that Brahman itself has not undergone any change whatsoever to be this world. Because the world is $mithy\bar{a}$. Anything you analyse reveals itself to be only a name and form which is reducible to another name and form which again has its being in something else.

SATYA MUST BE UNDERSTOOD TO UNDERSTAND MITHYĀ

A Buddhist will claim that, if you continue analysing like this, you will end up in non-existence. The conclusion will be that the world has its cause in the non-existent and the discovery that I am that non-existent is *nirvāṇa*. *Nirvāṇa* means extinguishing everything. This is the Buddhistic approach.

But we do not mean that. The discovery here is that, I am the only satya, the only reality. Even though the Buddhistic analysis of $mithy\bar{a}$ looks the same, it is not; because $mithy\bar{a}$ is truly $mithy\bar{a}$ only when satya is appreciated. Otherwise $mithy\bar{a}$ becomes satya, a reality. $Mithy\bar{a}$ is defined as anything that has no independent existence, no basis of its own. So by the very definition there is no such thing as $mithy\bar{a}$ without satya. Even though one may say the world is $mithy\bar{a}$, he cannot appreciate it as such unless he appreciates satya. When the clay is appreciated as the truth of the pot, the pot is appreciated as $mithy\bar{a}$. So only in the wake of the appreciation of satya does $mithy\bar{a}$ become clear.

TWO TYPES OF MATERIAL CAUSE—PARIŅĀMI-UPĀDĀNA-KĀRAŅA AND VIVARTA-UPĀDĀNA-KĀRAŅA

Satyam jñānam anantam brahma is the svarūpa of ātmā and at the same time is the cause of everything. A question now arises whether as the cause of everything it undergoes any type of change in becoming the world? Further, does it have any other material apart from itself with which it creates the world? The śāstra makes it very clear that Brahman is satya and the jagat, the world is mithyā. This being so, the world is non-separate from Brahman and so Brahman is the material cause. Here a problem arises. İśvara, Brahman with reference to the creation, is both nimitta-kāraṇa, the efficient cause and upādāna-kāraṇa, the material cause. We can understand, that there is an Īśvara who is all-knowing and so on, who is the efficient cause. But how can he be the material cause, for any material cause undergoes change? If the Lord himself is the material cause then he must undergo a total change to become space, air and so on.

VIVARTA-UPĀDĀNA-KĀRAŅA

Here we have to make a very careful note. When we say Brahman is the *upādāna-kāraṇa* of this *jagat*, we mean it as *vivarta- upādāna-kāraṇa*. This is one particular word I have not unfolded so far. *Vivarta- upādāna-kāraṇa* is different from simple *upādāna-kāraṇa*. *Upadāna* is the material and as a material, generally we would expect that it undergoes a change to become the effect. This is what we commonly understand as *upādāna-kāraṇa*. This is called *pariṇāmi-upādāna-kāraṇa*. It undergoes a change. The example generally given to illustrate this is of the milk turning into yoghurt. Milk was sweet and liquid; now it is sour and semisolid. It has undergone some change. No doubt milk is the *upādāna-kāraṇa* for the yoghurt, but the yoghurt is definitely not in the form of milk. The milk, which is the *upādāna-kāraṇa* has undergone a change to become yoghurt.

Similarly if the Lord, is understood to be the *upādāna-kāraṇa*, one may think that perhaps he also undergoes some change to become this *jagat*!— that is perhaps as *pariṇāmi-upādāna-kāraṇa*, he has indeed become the world, and as the material cause, must have undergone a change. If he has

undergone a change, he is no longer in his original form. Therefore all that is here now is the world. Where is the Lord? There is no Lord at all! This is an argument raised by some people to negate the Lord being the *upādāna-kāraṇa*.

This is too simplistic! The Lord, no doubt, is the material cause, $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. But he is not the $parin\bar{a}mi-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. He is the $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. That is, without undergoing any change he is the $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. This kind of $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$ is called $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$.

The definition of sva-svarūpa-aparityāgenavivarta is, rūpa-antara-āpattiķ— assuming another form without giving up one's own nature. An example is your own dream world. There you are the *nimitta*kārana; and you are the upādāna-kārana. Without undergoing any intrinsic change, without giving up its svarūpa, ātmā has become the world in the dream. There is the subject; there is an object; there is an action. All the kārakas are involved. A kāraka is anything connected to an action. Relationships are also included like, this is my house, this is my son etc. All these take place there without bringing about any intrinsic change in the ātmā. Ātmā, pure consciousness alone is in the form of this dream. As in the dream, so it is in this waking state.

When we say the Lord is *upādāna-kāraṇa* for the creation, we mean it as *vivarta- upādāna-kāraṇa*. It is like the rope, which, without undergoing any change, becomes the basis for the snake that is seen. Rope is *vivarta-upādāna-kāraṇa* for the snake.

PARINĀMI-UPĀDĀNA-KĀRANA-MĀYĀ

Since Brahman itself cannot undergo any change in order to be the cause of this creation it must have some *upādhi* which is as good as the creation. If the creation is *mithyā*, there must be an *upādhi* which is equally *mithyā*. That *upādhi*, we call *māyā*, the *upādhi* for *Brahman* to be Īśvara, the creator. We can now say, from this standpoint, that Īśvara has undergone a change to become this *jagat*. From the standpoint of *māyā-upādhi* we call Īśvara the *pariṇāmi-upādāna-kāraṇa*.

When we look at Iśvara, Brahman, as the cause of everything, it is as vivarta-upādāna-kāraṇa. That Īśvara you are. When I say that you are that Īśvara, I mean Īśvara as as vivarta-upādāna-kāraṇa. When we talk about the world as non-separate from Īśvara it is Īśvara that has become space, air and so on. Here we look at Īśvara from the standpoint of the māyā-upādhi which has undergone all the change. When we say Brahman, besides being the nimitta-kāraṇa, the efficient cause, he is upādāna-kāraṇa, the material cause, what we mean is that, Brahman is the vivarta-upādāna-kāraṇa. And because of vivarta-upādāna-kāraṇatva alone, it is possible to appreciate ātmā as sat-cit-ānandaṃ brahma.

One high school teacher once told me that Śaṅkara said that God became the world. In the beginning there was God and then he created the world out of himself. So God became the world. And now there is no God. It is exactly like making *idli* out of rice. The rice is gone; only *idli* is there. Later, I repeated this as Śaṅkara's philosophy to someone and he laughed so hard that I knew that there was some mistake in what I had said. But I didn't know what the mistake was and he didn't correct me either.

It is obvious. The mistake is that God is taken as *pariṇāmi-upādāna-kāraṇa*, a material cause that undergoes a change. We require the technical term, 'vivarta', to understand this. Once we say Brahman is *vivarta-upādāna-kāraṇa*, *Brahman* remains as Brahman. That alone will work. *Satyaṃ jñānam anantaṃ brahma* always remains the same. Its *svarūpa* being what it is, it cannot undergo any change.

To understand the non-dual nature of Brahman, that there is nothing beyond Brahman and that the creation is not different from Brahman, this $k\bar{a}rana-k\bar{a}rya-v\bar{a}da$, discussion of cause-effect is the set-up. Through this one understands that all that is here is Brahman and I am not other than that Brahman.